

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,666	12/31/2003	Bret Taylor	0026-0044	2791	
44989 HARRITY SN	4989 7590 06/21/2007 IARRITY SNYDER, LLP			EXAMINER	
11350 Random Hills Road			THAI, HANH B		
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA	22030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/748,666	TAYLOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hanh B. Thai	2163			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	•			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amer</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19,21,23-27,30 and 31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19,21,23-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	e			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is Non-Final Office Action in response to the amendment filed February 28, 2007. Independent claims 1, 14, 21 and 30 have been amended. Claims 20, 22 and 28-29 have been cancelled. Claims 1-19, 21, 23-27 and 30-31 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding "ranking lists of search results relative to one another, an image category and looking for terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query" have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19, 21, 23-27 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holbrook (US 6,961,731 B2) in view of Bailey et al. (US Pub. 2005/0004889A1).

Regarding claim 1, Holbrook discloses a method comprising:

- performing a search to determine documents related to a search query, the search being performed on a database containing a plurality of document categories to obtain a list of search results corresponding to each of at least two

of the categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories);

- ranking the lists of search results relative to one another (col.9, lines 2-6 and col.10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" reads on "ranking the lists of search results"); and
- generating a document in which the search results are placed in an organization determined based, at least in part, on the ranking of the lists of search results (Fig.3; Fig.7; Fig.9A and col.9, lines 2-6, Fig.3 of Holbrook showing the document of search results on the ranking list).

Holbrook, however, does not disclose plurality of document categories including an image category. Bailey discloses searching plurality of data categories (categories "141-147", Fig.1-2 and ¶[0034]-[0036], Bailey) including video category ("video" 143, Fig.1) corresponding to the claimed "image category" and ranking a related result items ([0036], Bailey). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Holbrook to include the claimed feature as taught by Bailey. The motivation of doing so would have been to enhance the search system that allows the users to efficiently identify the products or categories of interest ([0005], last paragraph, Bailey).

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Holbrook discloses wherein the organization of the generated document includes two or more of the lists of search results placed in a separate section (Fig.4 showing the list of search results placed in a separate section "society", "arts", "shopping" or "sport").

Application/Control Number: 10/748,666

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claims 3 and 16, Holbrook discloses wherein more highly ranked lists of search results are displayed more prominently in the generated document than less highly ranked lists of search results (Fig.2-3 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching-"1-50 sites" corresponding to "highly ranked list of search results").

Regarding claims 4 and 23, Holbrook discloses wherein the generated document includes the search results as hypertext transfer protocol links (col.9, line 66 to col. 10, line 3, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 5 and 24, Holbrook discloses wherein the more highly ranked lists of search results are displayed in sections that include more links than the sections corresponding to the less highly ranked lists of search results (Fig.2-4 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- "1-50 sites" corresponding to "highly ranked list of search results").

Regarding claims 6 and 25, Holbrook discloses wherein at least one of the sections includes a title describing the category corresponding to the section (Fig. 4 of Holbrook showing the list of search results placed in a separate section, titled as "society", "arts", "shopping" or "sport").

Regarding claims 7, 17 and 26, Holbrook discloses wherein at least one of the sections includes a link to additional search results for the category corresponding to the section (Fig.4 and step 504, Fig.5, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 8, 18 and 27, Holbrook discloses wherein the generated document is a web page (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Holbrook discloses wherein ranking the lists of search results is performed based on documents in the lists of search results (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and

col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories).

Regarding claim 10, Holbrook discloses wherein ranking the lists of search results is performed based on the search query (col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 11, Holbrook discloses wherein a most highly ranked list of search results is displayed on a left hand side of the generated document (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 12, Holbrook discloses wherein the categories additionally include a new category or a product category (Fig.2-4 and corresponding text, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 13, Holbrook discloses wherein the categories additionally include a general web page category (Fig.2-4 and corresponding text, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 14, Holbrook discloses a search engine comprising:

- a search component configured to identify documents related to a search query from a database containing a plurality of document categories, the search component obtaining a list of search results corresponding to each of at least two of the categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories);
- a ranking component configured to rank the lists of search results (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of

websites" reads on "ranking the lists of search results"), the ranking being based on the search query (Fig. 4; Fig. 10; Fig. 11B and col. 10, lines 34-40); and

an interface generation component configured to generate a document in which the search results are organized based, at least in part, on the ranking of the lists of search results (Fig.3; Fig.7; Fig.9A and col.9, lines 2-6, Fig.3 of Holbrook showing the document of search results on the ranking list).

Holbrook, however, does not disclose ranking relative search results wherein the ranking is based on the search query and including looking for terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query. Bailey discloses searching plurality of data categories (categories "141-147", Fig. 1-2 and ¶[0034]-[0036], Bailey) and ranking a related result items ([0036], Bailey). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Holbrook to include the claimed feature as taught by Bailey. The motivation of doing so would have been to enhance the search system that allows the users to efficiently identify the products or categories of interest ([0005], last paragraph, Bailey).

Regarding claim 21, Holbrook discloses a method of organizing documents categorized into a plurality of categories, the method comprising:

- receiving a search query (col.10, lines 34-40);
- performing a search based on the search query in each of the plurality of categories to locate the documents 9col.10, lines 34-40);
- ranking the plurality of categories based on contents of the documents in each of the categories (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the

"ranked matching of websites" are organized in categories), the ranking being based on the search query and including looking for predetermined terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query (Fig. 4; Fig. 10; Fig. 11B and col. 10, lines 34-40); and generating a results document in which the documents are organized by category and in which the documents of higher ranking categories are more prominently placed in the results document (Fig. 2-3 and col. 9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- 1-50 sites" corresponding to "higher ranking categories in the result document").

Holbrook, however, does not disclose ranking categories wherein the ranking including looking for terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query. Bailey discloses searching plurality of data categories (categories "141-147", Fig. 1-2 and ¶[0034]-[0036], Bailey) and ranking a related result items ([0036], Bailey). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Holbrook to include the claimed feature as taught by Bailey. The motivation of doing so would have been to enhance the search system that allows the users to efficiently identify the products or categories of interest ([0005], last paragraph, Bailey).

Regarding claim 30, Holbrook discloses a computer-readable medium containing programming instructions for execution by a processor, the computer-readable medium including:

instructions for receiving input documents categorized into a plurality of categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11,

Holbrook discloses document categorized into a plurality of categories), the plurality of document categories including an image category (Fig. 4; Fig.10; Fig.11B and col.10, lines 34-40, Holbrook discloses the raking of web site "list of search results" including graphical representation such as "category icon" corresponding to "image category");

- instructions for ranking the input documents based on contents of the input documents in each of the categories (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" are organized in categories); and
- instructions for generating a results document in which the input documents are organized by category and in which the documents of higher ranking categories are more prominently placed in the results document (Fig.2-3 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- 1-50 sites" corresponding to "higher ranking categories in the result document").

Holbrook, however, does not disclose ranking the input documents. Bailey discloses searching plurality of data categories (categories "141-147", Fig. 1-2 and ¶[0034]-[0036], Bailey) and ranking a related result items ([0036], Bailey). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Holbrook to include the claimed feature as taught by Bailey. The motivation of doing so would have been to enhance the search system that allows the users to efficiently identify the products or categories of interest ([0005], last paragraph, Bailey).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,666

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claim 31, Holbrook discloses receiving a search query; and performing a search based on the search query in each of the plurality of categories to locate the documents (Fig.2-4; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - 1. Ford et al. (US 6,963,867 B2) disclose search query processing to provide category-ranked presentation of search results.
 - 2. Dutta (US pub. 2002/0078045 A1) discloses system, method and program for ranking search results using user category weighting.
 - 3. Nashed (US 6,654,749 B1) discloses method and system for searching indexed information databases with automatic user registration via a communication network.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B. Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:00AM 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,666 Page 10

Art Unit: 2163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hanh B Thai Examiner Art Unit 2163

June 14, 2007

DON WONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100